



1 APPEARANCES:

2 LEE COUNTY STATE'S ATTORNEY CHARLES BOONSTRA  
3 of the Lee County State's Attorney's Office  
309 South Galena Avenue, Suite 300  
4 Dixon, Illinois 61021

5 Counsel for the County.

6 ATTORNEY DAVID D. STREICKER  
7 of the firm of Polsinelli  
150 North Riverside Plaza, Suite 3000  
8 Chicago, Illinois 60606

9 Counsel for the Applicant.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

INDEX

Witness: ANDREW de PASS

Examination Page

Attorney Streicker (Direct) . . . . .	6
Mr. Pratt . . . . .	22
Mr. Hughes . . . . .	23
Mr. Meyer . . . . .	25
Judge Slavin . . . . .	26
Attorney Streicker (Redirect) . . . . .	27

Witness: CHRIS HOWELL

Examination Page

Attorney Streicker (Direct) . . . . .	28
Mr. Pratt . . . . .	51
Mr. Meyer . . . . .	53
Judge Slavin . . . . .	53
Attorney Streicker (Redirect) . . . . .	54

Witness: TERRY VAN DE WALLE

Examination Page

Attorney Streicker (Direct) . . . . .	56
Mr. Pratt . . . . .	92

EXHIBITS

Exhibit Marked

Petitioner's Exhibit Number 13. . . . .	9
Petitioner's Exhibit Number 14. . . . .	30
Petitioner's Exhibit Number 15. . . . .	58

End . . . . .	95
---------------	----

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: All right. Good evening,  
2 everyone.

3 I call out of recess Lee County Zoning  
4 Board of Appeals hearing on Petition Number 21 P  
5 1563, BSW DevCo, LLC's, latest petition for a  
6 Special Use Permit to repower an existing WECS  
7 development in portions of East Grove and May  
8 Townships here in Lee County.

9 In case you're trying to get onto Zoom but  
10 have lost the information, the Zoom Meeting ID  
11 is 915-3923-9154, and the password, if you need  
12 it, is 209840.

13 If you want to watch us and listen on  
14 YouTube, on your computer or cell phone, use  
15 your browser, go to [www.youtube.com](http://www.youtube.com). In the  
16 search bar, type "Lee County IL," short for  
17 Illinois, "Zoning Board of Appeals." No need to  
18 be concerned with upper- or lowercase letters.  
19 Find the session date you want, presumably  
20 tonight, May 17th, and whala, you should see us.

21 If you need technical assistance with any  
22 of that, you may feel free to call Dee Duffy's  
23 hotline, 815.973.3449.

24 I note, honoring us with their presence

1        tonight are the Chair of the ZBA -- is the Chair  
2        of the ZBA, Mr. Forster; Mr. Bothe is present;  
3        Mr. Pratt is present; Mr. Hughes is present;  
4        Mr. Meyer is present.

5                Representing the Petitioner, Mr. Streicker  
6        is present, with, I think I counted five  
7        representatives of his client.

8                The honorable Dee Duffy is present, as is  
9        her assistant, Ms. Henkel. The court reporter  
10       is present. Himself, of course, is present.

11               That makes one, two, three, four, five,  
12       six -- 11, 12, 13, 14, 15 bodies -- oop,  
13       Mr. Boonstra, the honorable State's Attorney, is  
14       present. That makes 16 bodies in the courtroom,  
15       well below the IDPH mitigation protocol of 50 in  
16       this room.

17               I understand there are no Interested  
18       Parties in this room or in the rear former jury  
19       deliberation room, and I am informed by Alice  
20       that there is one Interested Party joining us on  
21       Zoom.

22               MS. HENKEL: And they are gone.

23               JUDGE SLAVIN: I'm sorry?

24               MS. HENKEL: They have left.

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: And they're gone. Okay.

2 MR. HUGHES: They might be back.

3 JUDGE SLAVIN: We have a total of 16  
4 people in the hearing room and no Interested  
5 Parties, at least present. Let me know if  
6 somebody shows up.

7 All right. When we left off,  
8 Mr. Streicker, you were in the midst of  
9 presenting your evidence, and you may continue.

10 MR. STREICKER: Thank you, Judge. I would  
11 like to call Andrew de Pass.

12 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. de Pass, if you'll step  
13 somewhere and raise your right hand. Anywhere  
14 is fine.

15 (Andrew de Pass was duly sworn.)

16 JUDGE SLAVIN: Have a seat right above our  
17 wonderful court reporter and --

18 THE WITNESS: Is this going to be  
19 projected? Or everyone's got a copy?

20 MR. STREICKER: All the ZBA members have a  
21 copy, and it will be projected, as well as staff  
22 and the judge.

23 DIRECT EXAMINATION

24 BY MR. STREICKER:

1 Q. Mr. De Pass, now that you have been sworn in,  
2 if you could please state your name and spell it  
3 for the record.

4 A. Richard Andrew de Pass.

5 JUDGE SLAVIN: Would you spell your last  
6 name for us.

7 THE WITNESS: D-E P-A-S-S.

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: I figured, but I wanted to  
9 make sure. Okay.

10 Q. (By Mr. Streicker:) And, sir, what's your  
11 current business address?

12 A. 2925 Richmond Avenue, 11th Floor, Houston,  
13 Texas.

14 Q. And how are you currently employed?

15 A. I'm employed by Vitol, Inc.

16 Q. And what is your position and job duties?

17 A. I am the head of renewables, responsible for  
18 our investment programs around renewable energy  
19 and alternative energy as well.

20 Q. And how long have you held that position?

21 A. Since May 1st, 2018.

22 Q. Okay. And how were you employed before that  
23 time, if it's relevant to what we're talking  
24 about?

1 A. Prior, I was the global CEO of a solar company  
2 called Conergy, with operations in 14 different  
3 countries. Prior to that, most of my career was  
4 in private equity at City (phonetic) with a  
5 focus on sustainable development investments.

6 Q. So I take it you have a fair amount of  
7 experience in the renewable energy industry?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. Approximately how many years?

10 A. I have been in this sector since 2006.

11 Q. Okay. And have you ever overseen the  
12 development of any renewable project or has it  
13 all been on the investment side?

14 A. Yeah, no, so when I was the global CEO of  
15 Conergy, we had developed and built over  
16 2 gigawatts of solar primarily and then over my  
17 career as an investor in all sectors of  
18 renewable energy: solar, wind, and others.

19 Q. Okay. Great. That's good to hear.

20 If you could -- one last introductory  
21 question. If you could tell the Board members  
22 what your educational background is.

23 A. Yes. I went to the University of Western  
24 Ontario Business School and graduated in 1989



1 and then moved to New York, and I also have a  
2 CFA.

3 (Petitioner's Exhibit Number 13  
4 marked for identification.)

5 Q. Okay. Mr. de Pass, I am going to hand you  
6 what's been marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 13.  
7 If you could take a look at it, please.

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: Well, you're the only one  
9 with any markings on it, so.

10 MR. STREICKER: No, I haven't marked it  
11 yet.

12 JUDGE SLAVIN: I want to make sure I get  
13 the right one, that's all I'm saying.

14 MR. STREICKER: Thanks.

15 JUDGE SLAVIN: Excuse me for interrupting.  
16 While he's looking, you marked that what?

17 MR. STREICKER: 13.

18 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you.

19 Q. (By Mr. Streicker:) Sir, have you seen this  
20 exhibit before?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. If you can describe to the Board members what  
23 it is?

24 A. It's an overview of Vitol, who we are and what

1 we do, and some key points about the Big Sky  
2 Wind Repowering Project.

3 Q. And did you personally prepare this exhibit?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Great.

6 At this time, why don't I turn it over to  
7 you to take the Board members through your  
8 petition -- petition, excuse me -- through your  
9 presentation, and we may call for some questions  
10 afterwards.

11 A. Okay. Perfect.

12 So Vitol, we're the world's largest  
13 independent energy trader. So our company was  
14 founded in Rotterdam in 1966. Our core business  
15 is the physical and financial trading of energy  
16 commodities. So that's crude, liquefied,  
17 natural gas, biofuels. We also are one of the  
18 biggest traders of power in the United States  
19 and other countries, as well as environmental  
20 commodities, such as renewable energy credits,  
21 solar renewable energy credits, and carbon.

22 Specifically, we've traded typically over  
23 a thousand terawatt hours of power annually,  
24 which is a lot.

1           Just with regards to our financial  
2 performance, our revenue in 2020 was  
3 \$140 billion. We have had 54 consecutive years  
4 of profitability, never losing money in a year,  
5 knock on wood. Investment grade credit rating  
6 from two agencies, and strong liquidity and  
7 conservative management of risk.

8           The company's actually privately owned by  
9 the employees, with no one employee owning more  
10 than 5 percent of the company. We have 1400  
11 employees in around 40 offices.

12           With regards to investments, we have a  
13 long track record of investing in physical  
14 assets and infrastructure, taking advantage of  
15 secular trends in the commodities markets and  
16 now the whole energy transition, which is a very  
17 important topic for all companies, including oil  
18 and gas companies such as ourselves.

19           Our current investments in renewables in  
20 the Americas are about \$1 billion. We have  
21 invested multiple billions, close to 8, in  
22 various different other types of businesses that  
23 are core to our training activities.

24           We can turn to Page 3.

1           So we're a global leader in energy  
2           distribution. So what that means is that we  
3           physically move crude oil and other products  
4           around the world on the sea. We're the largest  
5           physical mover of crude oil in the world at any  
6           one time. About 20 percent of all the oil  
7           that's moving in the ocean is controlled by us.

8           We have refining operations, terminals to  
9           store the commodity, retail distribution, which  
10          means gas stations in different geographies,  
11          Africa, Australia and Europe. We're also moving  
12          into what is a cleaner source of energy in  
13          addition to renewables, which is gas and  
14          liquefied natural gas. I mentioned the power  
15          trading, and then we do have some upstream oil  
16          and gas businesses.

17          So this is just a pictorial overview of  
18          our energy business and assets.

19          Now, if we turn to Page 4, it's a bit  
20          cleaner. Our renewables footprint. So we have  
21          a global reach and we're growing into new  
22          geographies. I won't go through all of these,  
23          but just to give you an idea. In the U.S., and  
24          I'll speak to this, we have a portfolio of about

1 700 megawatts operational under construction.  
2 Important to note that we just moved into  
3 renewables in the last two years, when I joined,  
4 so we think we have accomplished a lot in short  
5 order.

6 In addition to solar and wind, we also  
7 have launched an electric vehicle fleet  
8 business. This is to convert municipal buses,  
9 school buses and others to electric. We have  
10 battery storage investments in the UK, we have  
11 some hydrogen projects in the UK, biofuels, and  
12 then a big energy hub in Australia, and we have  
13 started to build solar of significant size in  
14 India.

15 Perhaps more relevant to our audience here  
16 is Page 5 -- to the Zoning Board, rather.

17 Renewable power generation in the U.S., I  
18 mentioned the 700 megawatts. We intend to grow  
19 this portfolio to multiple gigawatts in the next  
20 couple of years. Our team does have a lot of  
21 experience in solar primarily, but we also work  
22 with close partners such as Exus, Mike, who you  
23 heard from in testimony last session, who are  
24 true experts in onshore wind development and

1 construction oversight.

2 So we have a couple of very large projects  
3 that are under construction or to be under  
4 construction. We have solar and storage in  
5 California. Large projects, 106 megawatts in  
6 Pennsylvania, and 102 in Maryland, and, of  
7 course, the 240-megawatt wind repowering project  
8 here in Lee and Bureau County, if approved by  
9 the County supervisors.

10 There's some advantages to consider with  
11 regards to Vitol as an owner of renewables. We  
12 have a significant capital base, which means  
13 ease and speed of execution with no need to  
14 raise construction debt or other forms of tax  
15 equity to monetize the tax credits.

16 We have development capital through the  
17 long-term ownership. So our objective is to be  
18 a long-term owner of assets. Also, as one of  
19 the largest power traders and environmental  
20 commodity traders in the U.S., we have a deep  
21 understanding of the relevant power markets.

22 In addition to solar, wind repowering is a  
23 key area of growth focus for Vitol, working with  
24 our operating partner, Exus.

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)  
815.453.2260

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)

1           If we turn to Page 6, with regards to the  
2           specific project for discussion, Big Sky Wind  
3           here in Lee and Bureau County.

4           We intend to invest over \$250 million to  
5           repower the entire wind farm with more efficient  
6           and reliable turbines. So Vitol acquired the  
7           Big Sky Wind Farm from Black Rock on April 15th,  
8           2021, so this year.

9           Subject to County and other approvals, the  
10          repowering project is targeted to commence in  
11          June of this year. So we're in short order.  
12          The repowering project will be complete by third  
13          quarter 2022, fourth quarter latest. It will  
14          increase the production from the existing wind  
15          farm by 60 percent, or over 325,000 megawatt  
16          hours per year.

17          We'll be using top tier technology, Vestas  
18          wind terms -- turbines, rather, that are  
19          manufactured here in the United States in their  
20          Colorado operation.

21          I mentioned before, and I think this is  
22          relevant, is that Vitol is a strong sponsor. We  
23          have investment-grade credit rating, significant  
24          capital-based revenue, large power trader, and a

1 significant commitment to renewable energy.

2 We are providing the funds for the entire  
3 project from our own capital, be it the  
4 construction and/or we're able to use the tax  
5 credit. We're not relying on third-party  
6 financing. This is very important because you  
7 know the project is going to get built. We  
8 already have significant capital invested in the  
9 project to secure the turbines, and, of course,  
10 we purchased the project from Black Rock to  
11 repower because in its current state it's not  
12 very efficient.

13 The major milestones that have been  
14 achieved, we have our turbine supply agreement  
15 and service management agreement executed with  
16 Vestas. The engineering procurement and  
17 construction contract for the balance of the  
18 plant is to be signed at the end of this month.  
19 So in short order, our Road Use Agreements are  
20 completed, and we have scheduled the Zoning  
21 Board of Appeals meetings for Lee and Bureau  
22 County, and of course the Lee meetings are  
23 underway now.

24 Experienced team. So in addition to

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)  
815.453.2260

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)



1 Vitol, I mentioned Exus Management, who will be  
2 our development, construction oversight and  
3 asset manager. They have developed and operated  
4 multiple gigawatts of wind in the United States  
5 and true pioneers in onshore wind development  
6 and construction.

7 The power that's generated we expect to be  
8 sold to commercial industrial customers. We're  
9 in discussions with a number of users of  
10 significant quantities of power for data centers  
11 and otherwise.

12 And finally, Vitol is committed to the  
13 ownership of this project, but we're also  
14 committed to be part of the community and as  
15 helpful and involved as we can be in Lee County  
16 and Bureau County.

17 Page 7 is a more detailed overview of the  
18 project. The location we know, Bureau and Lee  
19 Counties. And ComEd locational delivery. The  
20 capacity, 240 megawatts, that number is not  
21 changing.

22 The wind farm has been in operation since  
23 2011, and the repowering will be complete before  
24 the end of 2022, with it commencing hopefully in

1 June. Importantly, how we refer to the  
2 efficiency of a wind farm is the net capacity  
3 factor. The net capacity factor prior to  
4 repowering was only 25.7 percent. Post-  
5 repowering it's 42 percent, because the Vestas  
6 wind turbines are much more efficient as the  
7 technology has advanced.

8 Previously we had the Suzlon wind  
9 turbines. Now we'll have Vestas. About 104  
10 V120s, 2.2 megawatt, and five Vestas V110s. The  
11 capital stack is entirely from Vitol. Land  
12 leases, up to 45 years with a number of  
13 landowners within this county.

14 And the operations and maintenance  
15 provider right now is a company called Renew.  
16 They will be replaced by Vestas in a matter of,  
17 I believe, 60 days, and Vestas will provide a  
18 20-year full-service contract for their  
19 turbines. And the asset manager, balance of  
20 plant, power management is Exus Management  
21 Partners.

22 I think on the next page, just a little  
23 pictorial, which hopefully you have seen  
24 already. We're replacing 114 turbines with 109.

1 So five will be decommissioned. Capacity stays  
2 the same. We use the existing towers, but  
3 replace the nacelle, hub, blades and electric  
4 internals.

5 There will be no -- what it's called --  
6 the towers will not be replaced and there will  
7 not be anything just dropping to the ground.  
8 Cranes will be used for the replacement of the  
9 nacelles and the blades, which together are  
10 called turbines.

11 There will be an addition of a small  
12 adapter between the existing tower and the new  
13 nacelles. All manufacturing structures of the  
14 tower will be replaced: platforms, ladders,  
15 cables, et cetera.

16 That is everything that is in Exhibit 13.

17 Q. (By Mr. Streicker:) Thank you, Mr. De Pass.

18 I had a couple questions that came to mind  
19 as you were presenting. One thing that struck  
20 me is that Vitrol has only been in the renewable  
21 business in the Americas for two years, did you  
22 say, correct?

23 A. Yes.

24 Q. And it looked like, from your current

1 portfolio, Big Sky is your signature wind  
2 project, correct?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. So this really is an absolute high priority?

5 A. It's an absolute high priority for Vitol in the  
6 Americas and also from our Board. It's an  
7 important issue.

8 Q. That's good to hear.

9 And one issue we -- or question we talked  
10 about with Mike Speerschneider, who you  
11 mentioned, was currently, am I correct to say,  
12 that the power from this project is sold into  
13 the market?

14 A. Right now the power is sold into the wholesale  
15 market. We refer that to merchant.

16 Q. And currently the project will continue to sell  
17 merchant up to or less or until a specific power  
18 purchase agreement is entered into with an  
19 industrial commercial project?

20 A. Yes. So the plant will continue to be  
21 merchant. That is sold into the PJM wholesale  
22 market. Primarily Benchmark is my hub, or the  
23 Northern Illinois hub. And post-repowering, we  
24 expect to be contracting a power purchase

1 agreement with commercial industrial types,  
2 likely technology or other companies that are  
3 purchasing significant quantities.

4 The C and I market is very robust to  
5 purchase renewable energy, with many companies,  
6 large and medium size, seeking to go net zero,  
7 which is to reduce their carbon emissions.

8 Q. Okay. And lastly, Mr. De Pass, in one slide  
9 you indicated that the production in the repower  
10 project would be approximately 60 percent higher  
11 than the current project; is that correct?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. And if I am correct, the direct correlation  
14 there is that -- I believe you said the current  
15 capacity factor for the Suzlon -- the existing  
16 Suzlon turbines, is approximately 25.7 percent,  
17 and that will rise to approximately 42 percent  
18 with Vestas?

19 A. Yes, that's correct.

20 MR. STREICKER: Thank you, Judge. I have  
21 no further direct questions for Mr. De Pass.

22 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Great.

23 How about you, Ms. Duffy?

24 MS. DUFFY: Nothing, Judge. Nothing.

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Boonstra?

2 MR. BOONSTRA: No, sir. Thank you.

3 JUDGE SLAVIN: Well, around the horn.

4 Mr. Forster?

5 MR. FORSTER: No questions at this time.

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Pratt?

7 MR. PRATT: Just one quick question.

8 EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. PRATT:

10 Q. That 60 percent increase of production, how  
11 does PJM handle that? When you have an  
12 agreement to put so much in, now you're going to  
13 increase that 60 percent, how do they physically  
14 handle that?

15 A. Yeah, so from what I understand, you know,  
16 they're concerned about the 240-megawatt, sort  
17 of, peak production, and that is the basis for  
18 approval for the interconnection agreement. We  
19 go back to PJM to inform them of the equipment  
20 change, but the increase in the actual megawatt  
21 hours is not a significant factor, from what I  
22 understand.

23 Q. So they can't deny you --

24 A. No.

1 Q. -- that increase?

2 A. No. That's -- from what I understand, they  
3 can't.

4 MR. PRATT: Okay. Thank you.

5 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Hughes?

6 MR. HUGHES: Yes.

7 EXAMINATION

8 BY MR. HUGHES:

9 Q. Just a point of clarification. Currently it's  
10 going into the PJM, the ComEd wholesale market.  
11 How does that shift to the commercial  
12 industrial? How do you change?

13 A. Yeah, so it's a financial contract. It's not  
14 physical. So we're not, like, physically  
15 selling them the green electrons.

16 So it's called a virtual power purchase  
17 agreement, and that basically it is set against  
18 a reference hub, in this case would be NI Hub,  
19 which is Northern Illinois Hub, and ComEd. And  
20 then basically we will agree on a price for the  
21 power over a certain period of time, which we  
22 expect between 10 and 15 years, and it's a  
23 financial overlay. We actually sell the power  
24 into the PJM marketplace.

1           And let's say our power purchase agreement  
2 price is \$30 a megawatt hour. If the actual  
3 realized price is 35, then we pay the  
4 counterparty 5. If the real life price is 25  
5 when we actually sell it into the market, we  
6 pay -- the counterparty pays us 5.

7           So it's a financial contract that overlays  
8 the actual physical sale of the power into the  
9 wholesale market.

10           So what it does for the project is, it  
11 takes away a lot of the power price volatility.

12 Q.   And then, just to go back and clarify  
13 Mr. Pratt's point, it's not that -- it's not  
14 that you are increasing the peak megawatts, it's  
15 that you're providing them on a more -- a  
16 steadier basis, a more efficient basis --

17 A.   Yes.

18 Q.   -- so that you're getting more hours out of --

19 A.   Yes.

20 Q.   -- the turbines rather than increasing the  
21 actual power out of the turbines?

22 A.   Yes.

23 Q.   Okay. That's what I thought was the case, but  
24 I just -- clarifying.



1 A. Yes.

2 MR. HUGHES: That's all I have.

3 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Meyer?

4 EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. MEYER:

6 Q. How much more will your new customers pay in  
7 premium for the green energy?

8 A. So the conservative industrial customers, they  
9 actually will look to the wholesale markets and  
10 the futures to see where the power is actually  
11 trading. It's not that you get a premium. They  
12 are not paying really much more, frankly. All  
13 it does is give us security over 10 to 15 years  
14 of a fixed price.

15 So they're -- the C and I customers are  
16 contracted, but they are not really paying a  
17 premium at all. So the difference is, is that  
18 we don't have the volatility of the wholesale  
19 market, which could average 30 but it could go  
20 to 15 or it could go to 40.

21 So they're -- the Googles and the Amazons  
22 and the Facebooks of the world, in addition to  
23 the AT&Ts and other large companies that are  
24 contracting, they actually see it as

1 economically sound, in addition to being green.  
2 This is a function of the fact that the cost for  
3 wind and solar has come down drastically and is  
4 equally and more competitive than fossil fuel  
5 alternatives.

6 MR. MEYER: Thank you.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Bothe?

8 MR. FORSTER: No questions.

9 JUDGE SLAVIN: I have a couple, and  
10 they're both not substantive, just to help me do  
11 my job for the ZBA.

12 EXAMINATION

13 BY JUDGE SLAVIN:

14 Q. Is it Vitol, Inc., or just Vitol?

15 A. It's Vitol.

16 Q. Vitol, okay.

17 A. Inc., but Vitol, yup.

18 Q. Which one? Which is the name of the company,  
19 Vitol, Inc., or Vitol?

20 A. Vitol, Inc., is the U.S. company.

21 Q. Okay. And you're employed by them?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. Thank you.

24 And the other very nonsubstantive one --

1 nonsubstantive one was -- either I zoned out or  
2 couldn't write fast enough -- what does NFC  
3 (sic) stand for?

4 A. Net capacity factor.

5 MR. HUGHES: NCF.

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: Back around to you,  
7 Mr. Streicker.

8 MR. STREICKER: Judge, I have one question  
9 along a similar line to yours.

10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. STREICKER:

12 Q. You used the term C and I customers. If you  
13 could just define that?

14 A. Commercial and industrial.

15 MR. STREICKER: Okay. Thank you. That's  
16 it.

17 JUDGE SLAVIN: You may step down. Thanks.

18 MR. STREICKER: Judge, if the Board is  
19 ready, we're ready to call our next witness.

20 JUDGE SLAVIN: Yes, you go.

21 MR. STREICKER: Call Chris Howell, please.

22 (Chris Howell was duly sworn.)

23 JUDGE SLAVIN: Thank you. Have a seat, as  
24 you know.

1 THE WITNESS: That's a low seat.

2 JUDGE SLAVIN: Did you hit your knee?

3 THE WITNESS: Yes.

4 JUDGE SLAVIN: At least you didn't scream.

5 CHRIS HOWELL,

6 having been duly sworn, was examined and

7 testified as follows:

8 DIRECT EXAMINATION

9 BY MR. STREICKER:

10 Q. Good evening, Mr. Howell?

11 A. Hello.

12 Q. If you could state your name and spell it for  
13 the record.

14 A. Yes. It's Chris Howell, C-H-R-I-S H-O-W-E-L-L.

15 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

16 A. I am employed by the Burns & McDonnell  
17 Engineering Company in Kansas City.

18 Q. And what is your current business address?

19 A. 9400 Ward Parkway, Kansas City, Missouri,  
20 64114.

21 Q. Mr. Howell, I'm going to approach you and  
22 present you with what has been previously marked  
23 as Petitioner's Exhibit 1, which is the petition  
24 for Special Use Permit in this matter.

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Sir, are you familiar with that document?

3 A. I am.

4 Q. Okay. Did you have input in the preparation of  
5 that document?

6 A. Yes, I did. I oversaw the general operations.

7 Q. Okay.

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: Keep your voice up,  
9 remember.

10 THE WITNESS: Apologies. Every time.

11 JUDGE SLAVIN: It's hard, I know.

12 Q. (By Mr. Streicker:) Am I correct to say, you  
13 oversaw, for Burns & McDonnell, the preparation  
14 of this application?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. And then you reported to Mike Speerschneider,  
17 ultimately?

18 A. Yes, I did.

19 Q. And relevant to your testimony here this  
20 evening, are there any particular portions or  
21 exhibits to this application that you're  
22 primarily going to be speaking about?

23 A. Yes, specifically tonight I'll be speaking  
24 towards the sound study.

1 Q. All right. And where is that in the  
2 application?

3 A. I believe it was Exhibit --

4 Q. Am I correct it's --

5 A. There we go. Exhibit J. Apologizes.

6 Q. Thank you.

7 And, sir, have you brought a presentation  
8 that you plan to give this evening?

9 A. Yes, I have.

10 (Petitioner's Exhibit Number 14  
11 marked for identification.)

12 Q. I'm going to approach you and present you with  
13 what's been marked as -- what I am going to mark  
14 as Petitioner's Exhibit 14. If you could take a  
15 second to review that document.

16 And, sir, are you familiar with that  
17 presentation?

18 A. Yes, I am.

19 Q. And did you prepare the presentation  
20 personally?

21 A. Yes, I did.

22 Q. Thank you.

23 Why don't I turn it over to you now to  
24 take the Board members through the presentation?

1 A. Sure. Thank you.

2 As mentioned, I'll be speaking about sound  
3 for the specific project and addressing  
4 specifically Lee County tonight.

5 JUDGE SLAVIN: Swallow that mic.

6 MR. HUGHES: Yeah, bring it closer.

7 A. First I'll give a quick introduction about  
8 myself, a quick introduction on acoustics, I'll  
9 talk about the sound level criteria that was  
10 developed for the project itself, the ambient  
11 measurements that were done, predictive modeling  
12 that was done, the parameters and inputs that  
13 were used, and then the results of that specific  
14 study.

15 All right. So as mentioned, I am Chris  
16 Howell. I am an elected member of the Institute  
17 of Noise Control Engineers. I have a bachelor's  
18 degree in mechanical engineering, post-graduate-  
19 level acoustics work. As mentioned, an elected  
20 member of the Institute of Noise Control  
21 Engineering, wherein they review your  
22 professional and academic career. You have to  
23 be recommended by an existing member of the  
24 society.

1 I have been doing noise for little over 19  
2 years. 21 years total of permitting experience.

3 I have done acoustical studies for many  
4 different industries, specifically wind power  
5 generation. Well over 6 gigawatts of wind  
6 turbine noise studies across multiple states.  
7 And I lead approximately 15 different projects  
8 within Illinois specifically.

9 Real brief introduction to my company.  
10 We're a fully integrated engineering,  
11 construction and environmental and consulting  
12 firm founded in 1898. We have 7500 employees.  
13 I believe it's about 7800 now. We're a  
14 top-ranked power and transmission and  
15 distribution firm in the United States.

16 All right. So acoustics overview.  
17 There's a couple different terms that get used  
18 when discussing the sound level of something.  
19 There's the sound power level and the sound  
20 pressure level. Sound power is the physical  
21 energy of that source, and sound pressure is the  
22 measure of that power at a distance.  
23 Essentially you're capturing the wave.

24 The sound is measured in decibels, and



1 specifically what we hear is considered an A-  
2 weighted decibel just because of the frequency  
3 response of our ears. And then, as mentioned,  
4 frequency is the component of the sound that  
5 gives it a characteristic. Amplitude is the  
6 volume. Frequency is the actual characteristic  
7 of the sound.

8 And then typically we talk about  
9 equivalent sound level. An instantaneous sound  
10 level can be any value, but over a certain time  
11 period you give a single value to that, and it  
12 is essentially the average sound level over that  
13 time period.

14 There's a couple of rules of thumb to talk  
15 about, 3 dB, 5 dB, and 10 dB difference. A 3 dB  
16 difference is when somebody starts to notice a  
17 difference; 5 dB is when somebody can clearly  
18 understand a differential between two sound  
19 levels; and 10 dB is considered typically a  
20 perceived doubling of the sound levels.

21 All right. So the first thing that we  
22 typically do on any type of study, and  
23 specifically one like this, we start at the  
24 federal level, see if there are any federal

1 criteria that would be applicable to the  
2 project. In this specific instance, EPA does  
3 not specifically regulate wind turbine noise.  
4 They have delegated that authority down to the  
5 State and County levels, and even further in  
6 some instances.

7 In Illinois, the Illinois Pollution  
8 Control Board does regulate sounds specifically.  
9 You can see Title 35, Subtitle H, they have very  
10 specific noise criteria that they have defined  
11 through a series of actions throughout the past  
12 wherein they now have very detailed criteria.

13 And then we go one step further and look  
14 to the local, the County and if there's a  
15 Township involved. And in this instance, Lee  
16 County does have a Code that requires a wind  
17 energy system to meet the IPCB regulations. So  
18 the overriding criteria for this type of project  
19 would then be the IPCB regulations.

20 The IPCB specifically regulates sound for  
21 land use classifications, and a turbine is  
22 considered to be an industrial-type source. So  
23 that land use is considered a Class C land.  
24 Agricultural land also happens to be a Class C

1 land. And there is no noise limit for Class C  
2 to Class C-type areas. So what the IPCB does  
3 is, when you hit a residence, that then becomes  
4 a Class A land. So in our instance here, the  
5 project would be limited to a Class C to Class A  
6 limit. For this type of effort, we look at each  
7 of the individual octave band frequencies and we  
8 look at the nighttime limit as the overriding  
9 limit, since it's lower than the daytime limit,  
10 as our driving criteria for the project.

11 You can see the specific values that are  
12 given there in decibels for each of the nine  
13 frequencies.

14 All right. So the next step that we would  
15 do in a study, and for this particular one,  
16 because there's been multiple iterations, we  
17 didn't redo the ambient sound survey. There has  
18 not been significant development in the area.  
19 There has not been a large source moved in next  
20 to one of the wind turbines that would change  
21 the ambient survey that was done previously. So  
22 we would expect the existing sound levels that  
23 were measured to be pretty similar to what they  
24 were in 2018.

1           We took four different time periods --  
2 morning, noon, evening, and midnight -- in  
3 15-minute measurements at 13 different  
4 locations. So we took a bunch of measurements  
5 and kind of came up with an overall picture of  
6 what the sound levels are existing in the area.

7           And as you can see there, there's a value  
8 there that says L-90. That's the sound level  
9 that it's exceeded 90 percent of the time, which  
10 is what Illinois uses as the ambient sound  
11 level. And sound levels range between 36 and  
12 49 dBA at any given time.

13           And then existing sounds in the area is  
14 obviously where wind turbines, when they are  
15 operating, if you were near enough to one.  
16 Trains, planes, insects and wildlife, and things  
17 like that. The wind itself is actually quite  
18 noisy at some points.

19           This next figure is really hard to discern  
20 what's going on there. The yellow are  
21 individual wind turbines that exist. There are  
22 some measurement points called out on the  
23 figure. All of this is in the actual exhibit  
24 that's in the application. It's much easier to

1 see in there than it is here.

2 The 13 measurement points are distributed  
3 throughout the wind farm there to capture sound  
4 near roads, near houses, things like that, to  
5 get a good picture of the overall ambient.

6 All right. So then the next step that we  
7 take is, we would do predictive modeling.  
8 Specifically, we use a model called CadnaA.  
9 It's a scaled three-dimensional model that takes  
10 into account a lot of different things. It's  
11 based on ISO standards, 9613-2 specifically,  
12 which is the standard method of predicting sound  
13 from industrial sources like this. It's pretty  
14 much the industry-accepted software and  
15 algorithms to use.

16 It assesses sound pressure levels based on  
17 octave bands. So we are -- it enables us to  
18 look at each of the individual frequencies that  
19 Illinois regulates, and then we looked out to  
20 3 kilometers from the farthest-out turbine so we  
21 would capture any residences or other sensitive  
22 areas that would be impacted by the turbines.

23 All right. So then the parameters of the  
24 inputs that we used. There are 110 turbines

1 included in the analysis. I understand that  
2 they're only building a hundred -- or only  
3 keeping 109 of the turbines. It was a mixture  
4 of the V110s and V120s. The terrain was  
5 included in the model, 10-foot contours from the  
6 USGS, and there were 273 receptors included in  
7 the model. I understand now that some of those  
8 particular receptors that were included in the  
9 model aren't necessarily residences, but they  
10 were included anyway.

11 So this -- what is in our analysis is  
12 going to be an overprediction of what would be  
13 out there in real life.

14 And then, lastly, their ground  
15 attenuation. We assumed the ground was semi-  
16 reflective, which is a pretty conservative  
17 approach to ground attenuation, especially for  
18 farm fields and things like that, that have soft  
19 dirt and some sort of, you know, leftover corn  
20 or anything like that that is definitely going  
21 to soften the ground. So a semi-reflective  
22 surface makes it a pretty conservative approach.

23 All right. Noise modeling was performed  
24 for the layout that exists and then with the 110

1 turbines that were chosen for moving forward  
2 with. Again, it was a mixture of V110s and  
3 V120s. The hub height is where typically the  
4 sound is generated from, at a distance from one  
5 of these turbines. It does not matter if you're  
6 looking at the tip of the blade or the hub, the  
7 sound is essentially going to look like a point  
8 in space when you're this far away from them,  
9 because the turbines are not allowed to be built  
10 close to a residence. It doesn't matter if  
11 you're looking at a disk or a single point in  
12 space, it's far enough away that it's  
13 essentially the same thing.

14 And then the sound power level of the  
15 units was provided to us by Vestas. They used a  
16 method called IEC 61400 to determine these sound  
17 levels that they estimate these turbines will  
18 never exceed under maximum wind loads.

19 We used, you know, the worst-case sound  
20 level for each octave band. So maybe 8 meters  
21 per second or 10 meters per second or 4 meters  
22 per second; a specific frequency that was louder  
23 than the others. Because Illinois looks at each  
24 of those individually instead of aggregating

1       them, we use the worst-case analysis moving  
2       forward.

3                Uncertainty is added to each of the  
4       turbines. You can see there, it's at  
5       0.8 decibels. IEC specifically calls out that  
6       should be added for a variation during  
7       manufacturing of individual turbines. They  
8       can't replicate them exactly every time. It's  
9       about a 0.8 difference between turbines.

10              And then all turbines were considered to  
11       be operating simultaneously at maximum sound  
12       levels, which, again, is a pretty conservative  
13       approach.

14              All right. So then the conservative  
15       assumptions that were made, just to kind of  
16       recap them here. You know, the model assumes  
17       that atmospheric conditions are favorable for  
18       sound propagation and assumes that there's no  
19       vegetation in the area, so no stands of trees or  
20       anything like that.

21              Maximum sound propagation and worst-case  
22       directivity factors. So it's assuming that  
23       anytime a sound wave can reflect off something  
24       and head towards a receptor, it does. That's



1 not always the case, but that's the way the  
2 algorithm treats it so that it creates maximum  
3 sound level predictions.

4 The model includes what's called a ground-  
5 based moderate and temperature inversion, which  
6 basically doesn't allow the sound to escape to  
7 the atmosphere. Sound is going to radiate in  
8 all directions, but if you have a low ceiling,  
9 the sound can actually hit it and travel further  
10 downwind than you would typically expect. So  
11 the model assumes that that's occurring right  
12 now.

13 Again, we used the worst-case sound level  
14 for each octave band, included the uncertainty  
15 factors, all turbines operating simultaneously,  
16 and then assumed a semi-reflective ground  
17 surface.

18 Another thing to note here that I put on  
19 the next slide specifically is that the model  
20 assumes every single receptor is downwind of  
21 every turbine. So if you have a receptor that's  
22 between two turbines, it's actually assuming  
23 that the wind is blowing from both directions,  
24 which is a physical improbability, if not

1 impossibility, that the model assumes something  
2 like that. So, again, another instance of  
3 potential over-predictions that are included  
4 here.

5 So then -- so all of the -- all of the  
6 identified receivers were predicted values -- or  
7 were given predicted values. It was a  
8 logarithmic addition of each individual wind  
9 turbine. So if one wind turbine's impact was 35  
10 and another turbine's impact was 35, adding  
11 those two together, you're going to have a total  
12 of 38, because it's not straight addition; it's  
13 logarithmic addition.

14 And then for -- just quickly looking at  
15 the results, a thousand hertz is typically going  
16 to tell you if you're going to comply or not in  
17 Illinois. Based on the physical characteristics  
18 of the way these generate sound and the way they  
19 regulate sound in Illinois, a thousand hertz is  
20 typically what triggers first. So that's the  
21 fastest way for us to look at it, is a thousand  
22 hertz. But we do go ahead and analyze all of  
23 the other individual frequencies as well.

24 So I do believe -- so there were

1       exceedances in the initial model that we looked  
2       at, just like there have been on the previous  
3       iterations of this. However, noise waivers are  
4       being sought for the individual landowners, and  
5       I know that the Applicant is researching their  
6       options at this point to determine how to remove  
7       these exceedances from the overall project  
8       itself and fully intends to comply with the IPCB  
9       regulations before they -- you know, before  
10      they're done with the application here. And in  
11      all likelihood, that is a probability. It does  
12      not appear that that's going to be a problem.

13             And so then from there, we predict con- --  
14      or predict contours over a gridded area. You  
15      can kind of see the blue -- light blue line  
16      there. That is the thousand hertz, 41 decibel  
17      limit. And you can see the turbines, yellow.  
18      The green little boxes are houses that are  
19      participating, and then there are a few  
20      nonparticipating residences within that blue  
21      line. That is where the waivers and things like  
22      that are being sought.

23             I believe that's all I have at this point.

24             JUDGE SLAVIN: I'm sorry?

1 THE WITNESS: I believe that's all I have  
2 at this point.

3 JUDGE SLAVIN: Follow-up?

4 MR. STREICKER: Yes, Judge. Thank you.

5 Q. (By Mr. Streicker:) Mr. Howell --

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. -- in your presentation, you talked about the  
8 developer's currently looking at options to  
9 remove exceedances; is that correct?

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. And would those be options to mitigate noise  
12 exceedances?

13 A. Potentially. Obviously you want people to  
14 participate in the project first. And if that  
15 is not an option, there are physical ways to  
16 manipulate the sound of the turbine. Whether  
17 it's de-rating it or some other form of  
18 mechanical fix to the turbine itself, you can  
19 reduce those sound levels below the IPCB  
20 regulations.

21 Q. Okay. So the first option would be to seek a  
22 noise waiver?

23 A. I believe so. That would be the most agreeable  
24 to everybody.

1 Q. Okay. And then you would look at options to  
2 mitigate the exceedances?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Sir, if I could, a couple questions about the  
5 application. Is your current curriculum vitae  
6 included in the application?

7 A. I believe it's slightly out of date, but yes.  
8 A CV for me is included, yes.

9 Q. But I think that you -- you testified  
10 previously, but you have worked on a lot of  
11 noise studies for wind farms; is that correct?

12 A. Yes, I have.

13 Q. And how many in Illinois again?

14 A. It's in excess of 15 --

15 Q. Okay.

16 A. -- specifically in Illinois.

17 Q. And you also mentioned the background study  
18 that was done, am I correct, in November 2018?

19 A. Correct.

20 Q. Could you talk to the Board members about what  
21 the inputs to that background study are? How do  
22 you put one of those together?

23 A. So the background study is a physical  
24 measurement of the current environment out

1       there. We actually have ANSI Type 1 noise  
2       meters that we use, and we will go out and we'll  
3       set up in different locations and take  
4       measurements of not just the operating turbines  
5       that are out there now but everything that's  
6       going on. Just take a, you know, acoustical  
7       snapshot of what's out there essentially --

8   Q.    Okay.

9   A.    -- daytime, nighttime, all that kind of stuff,  
10       to come up with overall values for the area.

11   Q.    Is this an in-person area? Is it a computer  
12       simulation? Is it a combination of both?

13   A.    No, that's an all in-person study.

14   Q.    Talk about the crew that goes out there. Do  
15       they set up microphones? How does it work?

16   A.    Yeah, so they will set up microphones. In this  
17       instance, there were 13 different locations.  
18       They moved them around throughout the day and  
19       night. So there would have been 13 times 4  
20       different measurements taken; 15 minutes at each  
21       measurement location. Basically come up with an  
22       aggregated hour at each location over the day  
23       essentially.

24   Q.    Okay. So this is a very methodical study --

1 background study that's performed?

2 A. Correct, yes. IPCB has specific guidance on  
3 how to take these, and it would have followed  
4 that protocol.

5 Q. Okay. And approximately how long does it take  
6 to put one of those background studies together?

7 A. The background studies don't take all that long  
8 to physically measure the sound levels. It's  
9 actually culling through the data afterwards  
10 that takes a little while. It will take about a  
11 week to two weeks to fully capture that  
12 acoustical snapshot of the area.

13 Q. Okay. And as far as -- are there any standards  
14 that govern how that snapshot is taken?

15 A. Yes. So the IPCB has guidance in, I believe  
16 it's Subchapter H, Section 901. They have  
17 guidance on how to take ambient measurements.

18 Q. Okay. And would this guidance extend to things  
19 such as where the microphones go, their use of  
20 the background study?

21 A. For instance, with a source like this, there is  
22 not in their guidance. What we did is, we tried  
23 to take measurements in locations either where  
24 there were sensitive noise receptors or areas of

1 interest. The -- typically it would be nearest  
2 to residences, is where we would have taken  
3 measurements, because that's who's most affected  
4 by something like this, right, so try to capture  
5 what they experience currently.

6 Q. Okay. And I think you said, sir, that the  
7 study did not need to be updated for 2018 for  
8 purposes of the study you included in  
9 application; is that correct?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. And how specifically did you determine that?

12 A. So the -- it's my impression that there has not  
13 been a significant new noise source in the area  
14 near these specific residences where we had  
15 taken measurements in the past. So the  
16 acoustical environment should be fairly similar.

17 Q. Okay. And as far as the inputs in the report,  
18 you used data provided by Vestas, the  
19 anticipated turbine manufacturer?

20 A. Correct. For the modeling study, yes.

21 Q. Okay. And I think you mentioned, it sounded  
22 like, most of your modeling was done on  
23 essentially a worst-case scenario; is that  
24 correct?



1 A. Yes, a significant amount of conservative  
2 assumptions go into it.

3 Q. Okay. So you took input from the manufacturer  
4 and you combined that against your background  
5 report, and that's how you were able to --

6 A. No, so the model values are actually compared  
7 against the IPCB limits themselves. A  
8 comparison of the modeling values to the  
9 measured values was not specifically included in  
10 the report. It was more for informational  
11 purposes of a comparison of existing to future  
12 potentially.

13 Q. And I think you mentioned that since we have  
14 modeled worst-case, you would expect that the  
15 actual -- any sound emissions from the repowered  
16 project would be lower than those predicted in  
17 the report, correct?

18 A. Yes. It wouldn't behoove the Applicant or me  
19 to underpredict sound levels significantly. So  
20 what I would expect, if we were to go out there  
21 and measure, would be at or less than the values  
22 that we have, and likely less.

23 Q. Okay. And as far as the worst-case assumptions  
24 in the study, I think you mentioned atmospheric

1 conditions could, terrain conditions could  
2 impact the studies?

3 A. Correct.

4 Q. Did you assume worst-case for all that?

5 A. So for terrain, it wasn't necessarily  
6 worst-case. We assumed ground absorption is  
7 much worse than it likely is in reality, which  
8 would dampen sound levels. Snow has a very high  
9 dampening effect. Ice has a very low dampening  
10 effect. We assumed essentially halfway in  
11 between there.

12 I would expect the ground of a farm field  
13 or something like that to be higher than half.

14 Q. Okay. And if there was actually crops growing  
15 in the field, would that be a good effect?

16 A. Yes, it would help scatter the sound waves as  
17 they hit the crops.

18 Q. Okay. So you're hoping crops scatter the sound  
19 waves in the summer, snow would dampen the sound  
20 waves in the winter?

21 A. Correct.

22 Q. Sir, am I correct, Exhibit J is dated  
23 April 2nd, 2021, the same as the application?

24 A. Yes.

1 Q. And that was the date on which this report was  
2 completed?

3 A. Correct.

4 MR. STREICKER: Thank you, Judge. No  
5 further questions.

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Boonstra?

7 MR. BOONSTRA: No, Judge. Thank you.

8 JUDGE SLAVIN: Ms. Duffy?

9 MS. DUFFY: No, Judge.

10 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Forster?

11 MR. FORSTER: No questions.

12 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Pratt?

13 EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. PRATT:

15 Q. You -- in your report you said you used nine  
16 conservative inputs/conservative assumptions.  
17 You had a list of them. I just counted them.

18 A. Yeah, and then the downwind is an additional  
19 one that was not included in that specific list.

20 Q. Okay. So have you ever went back and -- so  
21 you did your model based on them conservative  
22 inputs. Have you went back and found out what  
23 the conservative inputs equate to percentage-  
24 wise?

1 A. Not percentage-wise. We have done some  
2 sensitivity analyses on the model in the past.  
3 It can make a couple of decibels' differential,  
4 depending on which specific item it is. If you  
5 were to add them all up, I wouldn't expect it to  
6 be more than 2 or 3 decibels, but it --  
7 potentially an individual one could be about  
8 that on its own.

9 Q. So 2 decibels would be 5 percent of 41? Is  
10 that what you're saying?

11 A. Without doing the math, sure. And, again, a  
12 3 dB differential is required before there's a  
13 noticeable change between two sound levels. So  
14 I would expect any of those conservative factors  
15 to add up to barely a perceivable difference.

16 Q. So then when you talk about noise waivers that  
17 are going to be -- tried to be obtained, are  
18 they different than what -- different people  
19 involved, different residents involved than the  
20 present project?

21 A. You would have to ask the Applicant about that.  
22 I believe most everybody is participating, but I  
23 do not know that specific answer.

24 Q. So will we know the -- who receives a noise

1 Variance or waiver?

2 A. They are keeping a list of it, so.

3 Q. Will we know that?

4 A. I'd have to defer to the Applicant for that.

5 MR. PRATT: Okay. No further questions.

6 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Hughes?

7 MR. HUGHES: Actually, that last point  
8 that Mr. Pratt touched on, I -- yeah, that's --  
9 that covers it.

10 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Meyer?

11 EXAMINATION

12 BY MR. MEYER:

13 Q. Do you know how many less waivers there may be  
14 with the Vestas turbines compared to the Suzlon  
15 ones?

16 A. I think that's the same question. I apologize,  
17 I don't know a difference. I don't know who  
18 currently has waivers for the Suzlons.

19 MR. MEYER: Okay. Thank you.

20 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Bothe?

21 MR. BOTHE: No questions.

22 EXAMINATION

23 BY JUDGE SLAVIN:

24 Q. Is it true that after your modeling studies, 18

1 participating landowners' residences received  
2 exceedances and 14 nonparticipating residents  
3 received exceedances?

4 A. So the study showed 16 nonparticipating  
5 residences with exceedances, but I believe a  
6 couple of those were not actually residences.  
7 One was actually a pile of dirt in a field and  
8 one was a cell tower.

9 Q. So it's 14 nonparticipating residences?

10 A. I believe so, yes.

11 Q. And 18 participating landowner residences?

12 A. I don't have the actual number, but that sounds  
13 correct, yes.

14 Q. Okay. Is that in the whole of the project or  
15 is that in Lee County only?

16 A. That's in Lee County only.

17 JUDGE SLAVIN: Okay. Based on my  
18 questions, back to you, Mr. Streicker, or  
19 anybody else, questions?

20 MR. STREICKER: I do have one follow-up,  
21 Judge.

22 REDIRECT-EXAMINATION

23 BY MR. STREICKER:

24 Q. Mr. Howell, we're here talking about the

1 repowering of the Big Sky Project, correct?

2 A. Correct.

3 Q. Does the fact that we're in a repowering  
4 context, where you know the exact locations of  
5 the turbines, help you create a more accurate  
6 study than if you were doing a greenfield  
7 project?

8 A. Yes, it does. There are always construction  
9 considerations for the project on a greenfield.  
10 When you get out there, you may need to move it  
11 a certain amount of distance to avoid, you know,  
12 a subsurface structure that you didn't know  
13 about or something like that. In doing so, that  
14 changes the predictions slightly from the  
15 upfront study.

16 For a study like this, where they're using  
17 the existing towers, the existing foundations,  
18 all of that, we won't see a shift from where we  
19 currently are. So I would expect that what we  
20 predict at this point is the worst-case impact.

21 MR. STREICKER: All right. Thank you,  
22 sir.

23 JUDGE SLAVIN: You may step down.

24 MR. STREICKER: We have one more witness

1           tonight, Judge. I don't know if we want to  
2           take --

3                   JUDGE SLAVIN: All right. It's a little  
4           early, but let's take a break. Say -- well,  
5           that clock is pretty accurate. I have got two  
6           minutes before 8. Let's just say five after.

7                               (A recess was taken at 8:01 p.m.  
8                               and proceedings resumed at  
9                               8:09 p.m.)

10                           TERRY VAN DE WALLE,  
11           being first duly sworn, was examined and  
12           testified as follows:

13                           DIRECT EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. STREICKER:

15 Q.    Good evening, Mr. VanDeWalle. If you could,  
16        please state your name and spell it for the  
17        record.

18 A.    Sure. Terry VanDeWalle, T-E-R-R-Y, V-A-N,  
19        capital D-E, capital W-A-L-L-E.

20 Q.    And, sir, how are you currently employed?

21 A.    I'm employed as a principal biologist with  
22        Stantec Consulting Services.

23 Q.    Okay. And what is your current business  
24        address?



1 A. 2300 Swan Lake Boulevard, Suite 202,  
2 Independence, Iowa, 50644.

3 Q. All right. And, Mr. VanDeWalle, I'm going to  
4 approach you with what's been previously marked  
5 as Petitioner's Exhibit 1, which is the Special  
6 Use Permit application in this matter.

7 Sir, are you familiar with that document?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And did you or your firm prepare any reports  
10 that are associated with that application?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. Okay. And which one specifically?

13 A. The Site Characterization Report and a Bird and  
14 Bat Conservation strategy.

15 Q. All right. Is the Site Characterization Report  
16 marked as Exhibit F?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. And is the Bird and Bat Conservation  
19 Strategy marked as Exhibit H to that report --  
20 or application?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And were both of those reports prepared under  
23 your supervision?

24 A. Yes, they were.

1 Q. All right. And, sir, have you brought a  
2 presentation with you this evening you would  
3 like to give the Board?

4 A. Yes, I have.

5 Q. All right. And, sir, I'm going to approach you  
6 with what I am going to mark as Petitioner's  
7 Exhibit 15.

8 (Petitioner's Exhibit Number 15  
9 marked for identification.)

10 Q. Sir, are you familiar with that document?

11 A. Yes, I am.

12 Q. Okay. And is that the presentation you plan to  
13 give this evening?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And was that prepared by you?

16 A. Yes, it was.

17 Q. Okay. And I'm going to present you with two  
18 more documents, Mr. VanDeWalle. These have been  
19 previously marked as Petitioner's Exhibit 9 and  
20 Petitioner's Exhibit 10. Exhibit 9 is a letter,  
21 I believe, authored by you to Ms. Duffy dated  
22 March 11, 2019; and the second is a letter from  
23 the Illinois Department of Natural Resources to  
24 Ms. Duffy dated April 16th, 2021.

1           If you could take a second to review those  
2 documents, please.

3           Are you familiar with those?

4 A.    Yes, I am.

5 Q.    Okay. And we can put those aside for a moment.  
6 I may come back to you with questions on those,  
7 but why don't I turn it over to you right now  
8 for your presentation.

9 A.    Okay. Thank you.

10           Good evening, everyone. Good to see you  
11 all again.

12           Just to start with a little bit, we'll  
13 start with, I guess, my qualifications, a little  
14 bit about Stantec, and then we'll kind of get  
15 into talking about the studies that we did.

16           I do have a bachelor's degree in animal  
17 ecology and a master's degree in biology. I do  
18 currently manage Stantec's Independence, Iowa,  
19 office. Our office is primarily a renewables  
20 office, and so we do work on primarily wind  
21 farms but also solar and then we do a few other  
22 things, some road projects and transmission  
23 lines and pipelines, things like that, but  
24 primarily wind.

1 I have been working as an environmental  
2 consultant for around 27 years now. The last 14  
3 years primarily are principally in the  
4 renewables field, working on wind projects  
5 really all across the country. I have either  
6 conducted or supervised pre- and  
7 post-construction surveys and studies at wind  
8 farms, and then also permitting at wind farms at  
9 really almost now 160 wind farms in 22 states,  
10 and 35 of those wind farms here in Illinois.

11 Stantec is a large international  
12 environmental and engineering firm. We have  
13 offices really across -- or really around the  
14 world, have 22,000 employees, over 250 offices  
15 here in North America, and around 3900  
16 environmental and engineering staff here in  
17 North America. 250 of those of our  
18 environmental staff are located here in our  
19 Midwest group.

20 In the last few years, Stantec has done  
21 environmental and engineering at around 400 wind  
22 farms. In the last 10 years, we have worked on  
23 around 1200 wind farms, principally in North  
24 America.

1           And our -- the engineering -- or the  
2           Independence, Iowa, office that I manage, again,  
3           is primarily a renewables office, and we're  
4           recognized as one of Stantec's renewables hubs.

5           We have been -- you know, I and my staff  
6           have been working on Big Sky, at least this,  
7           sort of, current version of Big Sky, since 2018.  
8           I actually worked on the original Big Sky  
9           project back in 2008. But on the repower, we  
10          started working on it in 2018, and we really  
11          began with -- that's when the consultation with  
12          the Illinois DNR began by submission of the  
13          EcoCAT request. The EcoCAT is the Illinois  
14          DNR's online system for obtaining records of  
15          threatened and endangered -- State-listed  
16          threatened and endangered species in a project  
17          area.

18          So you submit a -- through their website,  
19          you submit a boundary for the project area and  
20          then the system tells you any known records for  
21          threatened or endangered species within certain  
22          buffers of the project area.

23          So we did that first in December of 2018,  
24          and then we get sort of an immediate answer on

1        what those species are. But ultimately what  
2        that results in is a letter -- a consultation  
3        letter that comes to the County from the  
4        Illinois DNR, and we'll come back and talk about  
5        that here in a few minutes.

6                But we submitted the original boundary  
7        back in December of 2018, and it was revised  
8        back in December of 2018 then, and, again, that  
9        was for a previous owner of the project.

10                At that time, as part of that, we did  
11        realize or we recognized that there was some  
12        concerns with some State-listed species;  
13        specifically two turtles that we'll, again, talk  
14        about a little bit more in a few minutes. But  
15        as part of that, we did a threatened -- my  
16        company did a threatened and endangered species  
17        risk assessment. We discussed that with the  
18        Illinois DNR, and as a result of that, it was  
19        determined that a State incidental take permit  
20        would be needed for the project for the two  
21        turtle species.

22                And so in 2019 then we did prepare that --  
23        the conservation plan, submitted that to the  
24        DNR, and then that conservation plan, along with

1 the incidental take authorization application,  
2 went out on public notice, and that public  
3 notice resulted in no comments. We didn't get  
4 any comments back at the time.

5 And we were ready to move forward -- the  
6 State was ready to move forward with issuing the  
7 permit. That then sort of got delayed through  
8 some of the ownership changes in the project,  
9 but we have reinitiated that now, and we have  
10 met with the Illinois DNR. As you can see on  
11 the slide, we met with them in March -- well,  
12 actually, step back.

13 The EcoCAT letters are only good for two  
14 years, those consultation letters. So we had to  
15 redo the consultation through EcoCAT. So that  
16 was done in March of this year. And so there  
17 was a new EcoCAT consultation letter that was  
18 issued on March 16th of 2021. We then met with  
19 the Illinois DNR to discuss the -- to introduce  
20 the new owner of the project, discuss the  
21 changes that took place, primarily that there  
22 would be fewer turbines decommissioned now, and  
23 asked the Illinois DNR whether there were, you  
24 know, any issues with the assessed incidental

1 take conservation -- or the incidental take  
2 authorization application that was out there; in  
3 other words, or specifically, did it have to go  
4 back out in public notice? The answer from the  
5 Illinois DNR was, No, it did not.

6 We revised the Illinois -- revised the  
7 conservation plan and just submitted the final  
8 conservation plan last week to the Illinois DNR,  
9 at which time the DNR indicated that they would  
10 process the application and are looking to issue  
11 the permit either in June or July of this year.

12 So consultation has been ongoing on this  
13 project for the last few years and again in just  
14 the last couple of weeks.

15 We -- the studies that we did, the primary  
16 study we did was a site characterization report.  
17 We originally did this work back for the  
18 original -- you know, for the original owner,  
19 but nothing has really changed with the project  
20 boundaries specifically. So the report we did  
21 back then still is valid today.

22 That site characterization report was done  
23 based on the Fish and Wildlife Services  
24 Land-Based Wind Energy Guidelines, which does



1 recommend a tiered approach to the studies. And  
2 so this slide talks about the three tiers that  
3 we follow. Tier 1 really is a -- kind of a  
4 large landscape approach. We look at where does  
5 the project site fit into the larger landscape?  
6 And in a particular -- what's land cover in the  
7 area? Are there any sensitive areas for  
8 wildlife, say, in the county, so in Lee County,  
9 or sometimes on a statewide basis?

10 Tier 2 kind of drills down more to the  
11 site. Now we're going to look at the specific  
12 site. What's the land cover on the site? Are  
13 there -- is there specific wildlife habitat on  
14 the site? Any areas that might attract  
15 wildlife? And it's really at this Tier 2 phase  
16 that the EcoCAT comes into play, because EcoCAT  
17 is looking at what threatened and endangered  
18 species are known from the area of the project  
19 site.

20 And then Tier 3 studies would be any field  
21 studies that were done. And we haven't done any  
22 field studies -- any wildlife field studies for  
23 this site.

24 There are some additional tiers in the

1 Land-Based Wind Energy Guidelines, but those are  
2 all post construction and so don't apply yet at  
3 this stage.

4 So taking just a quick look at the site.  
5 Land cover at the site. And, again, we look at  
6 the land cover to get an idea of where -- you  
7 know, are there any attractions for wildlife,  
8 specific wildlife habitat? And, you know,  
9 probably not a surprise to you all, but this  
10 site is primarily agricultural. You know,  
11 around 87 percent of the project area is crops.  
12 And what that tells us is that there's not  
13 really a lot of natural habitat out there for  
14 wildlife, you know, or habitats to attract  
15 wildlife.

16 There is a little bit of forest, a little  
17 bit of woodland, and we'll talk a little bit  
18 more about that in a bit, and very little  
19 wetland.

20 In fact, if you go to the next slide, the  
21 other thing we look at is the National Wetlands  
22 Inventory, and the National Wetlands Inventory  
23 is a publicly-available database that gives us  
24 an idea of where wetlands are. For our

1 purposes, the reason we look at wetlands is,  
2 again, as an attraction for wildlife. What  
3 you'll see here is that very little wetlands are  
4 within the project area. Again, you know,  
5 mostly crops. Not a lot of, you know, marshes  
6 or ponds or other attractions that might attract  
7 birds in particular.

8 So what we know from the site from this  
9 phase of it is, is there's very little wildlife  
10 habitat out there.

11 The Land-Based Wind Energy Guidelines do  
12 look at what they call species of concern, and  
13 in some cases these are groups, like migratory  
14 birds. So migratory birds are birds that are  
15 going to be -- might be here in the summer but  
16 fly south in the winter. So a lot of these are  
17 things that you're familiar with, cardinals and  
18 robins, some those types of birds. Some of the  
19 hawks do that as well.

20 So what we know is that because there's  
21 very little wildlife habitat on the project area  
22 or within the project area, there's very little  
23 habitat for these migratory birds. Migratory  
24 birds are really looking at the stopover

1 habitat. They're going to be going from one  
2 place, whether that's here or further north from  
3 here, and flying south for the winter.

4 So what we're looking at when we look for  
5 wildlife habitat, is there any stopover habitat?  
6 That would be those wetlands, it would be  
7 woodlands, things like that.

8 So what we know is that there are limited  
9 streams, riparian corridors. Those are natural  
10 corridors along streams, for instance. Very  
11 little, you know, of those riparian corridors,  
12 woodlands within the project area. And as we  
13 said, very little wetlands as well.

14 Cultivated crops provide really no nesting  
15 habitat for birds. So there's no breeding bird  
16 concerns within those wildlife -- or within the  
17 cropped areas. And they don't provide a lot of  
18 stopover habitat for most migratory birds  
19 anyways.

20 We did look at some other publicly-  
21 available information regarding the bird species  
22 that are already out there. One of those is the  
23 breeding bird survey. Breeding bird surveys are  
24 conducted annually, typically in June, and these

1 are standardized routes that somebody goes out  
2 and they look at birds, they record the birds  
3 that they see every year at the same locations.

4 And so if we looked at the breeding bird  
5 survey data that's been collected in the area  
6 since 1966, in this case, what we see is that  
7 the bird species that you see on the list are  
8 all, again, very common species we would expect  
9 to see really in these midwestern agricultural  
10 areas. So, again, things like robins, a number  
11 of the sparrows, barn swallows, cow birds, house  
12 sparrows, which are nonnative, mourning doves,  
13 cardinals. I'm sure a lot of the birds that you  
14 all see around here quite commonly. If you feed  
15 birds, you're going to see a lot of these birds,  
16 you know, eating in your backyards.

17 Eagles. Eagles are another species of  
18 concern, you know, the Land-Based Wind Energy  
19 Guidelines look at. Eagles are, although  
20 they're not threatened or endangered --  
21 federally threatened or endangered anymore, they  
22 are still protected under the Bald and Golden  
23 Eagle Protection Act.

24 They are a special-concerned species here

1 in Illinois. So the Illinois DNR doesn't track  
2 these. So you won't see anything related to  
3 eagles come up on the EcoCAT report because they  
4 are not currently State-listed.

5 Really, again, not a lot of what we would  
6 think of as typical eagle habitat within the  
7 project area. No large rivers, no large lakes.  
8 There is some woodlands which, you know,  
9 potentially could provide nesting habitat, but  
10 we don't have a lot of those water aquatic  
11 sources or water sources to provide food in the  
12 area.

13 So, again, we looked -- we didn't do any  
14 field surveys, but we looked at publicly-  
15 available data, we looked at Christmas bird  
16 counts. These are counts that are conducted  
17 through the Audubon Society called Christmas  
18 bird counts, because they're typically done on  
19 Christmas Day. Groups of volunteers go out to  
20 the same points every year, look within a  
21 certain radius of that point and count the birds  
22 every year on Christmas Day.

23 And what you can see on the slide is that  
24 during those counts, there have been eagles

1 observed during the winter months. And, again,  
2 not a surprise. Eagles migrate. They come  
3 through here in the spring and in the fall and  
4 some travel through from the winter. Some may  
5 stay the winter, depending on the winter -- how  
6 severe the winter is.

7 So not a surprise to see them, you know,  
8 during the winter months, but not a lot of eagle  
9 activity, based on the data that -- you know,  
10 publicly-available data that we have.

11 The other group are the other birds of  
12 prey or the other raptors: the hawks, owls,  
13 falcons, things like that. Again, if you look  
14 at the list from either breeding bird surveys or  
15 Christmas bird counts, these are all common  
16 species that we would expect. Red-tailed hawks,  
17 great horned owls, American kestrel, these are  
18 all pretty common things that we would see  
19 around here.

20 The project, when it was originally  
21 constructed and as part of the repower, has  
22 already taken some measures to minimize the  
23 collision risk to birds. The turbines  
24 themselves are self-supporting. There's no guy

1 wires. It is the guy wires that are really the  
2 concern, whether that's related to a cell tower  
3 or if it were a -- some other communications  
4 towers. It's the guy wires that are often the  
5 problem. In this case, there are no guy wires  
6 on the turbines, so less of a concern of, you  
7 know, just birds running into the towers.

8 All the collection and communication lines  
9 are buried, which avoids, again, birds running  
10 into those, also avoids electrocution risks,  
11 things like that.

12 The project substation, the substation  
13 lights are down-shielded and employees are  
14 instructed to turn down the lights associated  
15 with the insides of the turbines. The concern  
16 with the lights is that at night, when it's a  
17 foggy night or there's a low cloud ceiling, if  
18 there's a light that the birds can see, the  
19 birds hone in on those lights. So as they're  
20 flying over at night, they're migrating through,  
21 if they see a light, they may head towards the  
22 light. And when they head towards the light,  
23 they have to pass through that -- the rotor  
24 strike zone of the turbines and they are more at



1 risk.

2 So by down-shielding the lights, turning  
3 down lights that are not needed, you can reduce  
4 the risk to the birds. So the project, again,  
5 has been, to my knowledge, already implementing  
6 that and will be during -- after the repower.

7 And then, you know, personnel that worked  
8 with the project, the O and M personnel, the  
9 operations and maintenance personnel, will  
10 receive periodic environmental awareness  
11 training and be instructed to note any wildlife  
12 mortality that they might see.

13 Threatened and endangered species. So,  
14 again, a lot of this -- some of this information  
15 comes from the EcoCAT report, some of it comes  
16 from other online databases that we searched  
17 through the Fish and Wildlife Service, for  
18 instance.

19 So there are records of a number of  
20 threatened and endangered species in the area.  
21 The two turtles: ornate box turtles and  
22 Blanding's; there's a butterfly: the regal  
23 fritillary; and then a number of plants as well.

24 Again, because this project area is

1 primarily cropped, there's really no habitat for  
2 the plants in the area, and so the plants are  
3 not a concern.

4 Regal fritillaries need violets. That's  
5 their host plant. And, again, because of the --  
6 really the predominance of agriculture, violets  
7 are not common -- are not going to be commonly  
8 found in the area either, particularly in the  
9 areas where, you know, the repowering activities  
10 are going to take place. So the butterfly is  
11 not a concern either.

12 So what we're left with then are the two  
13 turtles, which we identified early on as the two  
14 species that could potentially be at risk.

15 There is highly-suitable habitat for both  
16 the box turtle and the Blanding's turtle at the  
17 Ryan Wetland and Sand Prairie. That site --  
18 actually, if you go to the next slide, we can  
19 look at the map. The Ryan Wetland and Sand  
20 Prairie is actually right in the middle of the  
21 project area, and that's a known site for the  
22 Blanding's turtles and the ornate box turtle as  
23 well.

24 So what we did is, you know, we looked

1 at -- we know that the turtles are there. We  
2 looked at -- of the turbines within the project  
3 area, what, if any, of those would be risky for  
4 the turtles, and so we did a -- the threatened  
5 and endangered species risk assessment, and what  
6 we identified is that there are 22 turbines  
7 located within a mile of the Ryan Wetland and  
8 Sand Prairie, and then there were two additional  
9 turtles that were located in close proximity to  
10 a known occurrence of the turtles.

11 Of those 22 turbines that we considered to  
12 be potentially risky, there were 14 of those  
13 that were then identified as posing a risk  
14 during construction to the two turtle species.

15 And so what you're looking at on the  
16 screen or in your handout is the Ryan Wetland  
17 and Sand Prairie. The black-and-white dashed  
18 line is the one-mile buffer of the Sand Prairie.  
19 And then those turbines, the 22 turbines within  
20 that, again, 14 of those are the ones that are  
21 considered to be risky.

22 So there were some specific conservation  
23 measures then that were developed in order to  
24 avoid or minimize risk to the two turtle

1 species. Specifically, those include that  
2 during construction at those 14 turbines that  
3 were considered risky, exclusion fencing will be  
4 installed around the construction area. So in  
5 this case, it's going to be silt fence. The  
6 exclusion fence is put up around the perimeter  
7 of the construction area. That prevents turtles  
8 from getting into the area while construction is  
9 occurring.

10 Right after the silt fence is installed, a  
11 bio monitor will go in and inspect the area. If  
12 there are any turtles caught on the inside, the  
13 turtles will just be picked up and moved to the  
14 outside. So this way, we can keep turtles out  
15 of the construction area while construction  
16 activities are occurring.

17 The fence will be visually inspected by  
18 the monitor during construction while -- you  
19 know, during any collector line upgrades or  
20 along the crane walks. So as the cranes are  
21 moving from one site to another, the bio monitor  
22 will essentially walk ahead of that equipment  
23 and make sure that there are no turtles in front  
24 of it so the turtles are not, you know, run over

1 by the equipment as they -- as it's moving  
2 around.

3 And then periodically the bio monitor  
4 during construction will be inspecting crane --  
5 or access roads and other areas, again making  
6 sure the turtles are sort of out of harm's way  
7 as equipment is moving along.

8 These are the conservation measures that  
9 Stantec developed as part of the threatened and  
10 endangered species risk assessment and these are  
11 the conservation measures that we have discussed  
12 with the Illinois DNR as part of the incidental  
13 take authorization.

14 There are two State- and federally-listed  
15 bat species that occur in the project area, or  
16 at least whose ranges include the project area.  
17 That's the Indiana bat and the northern  
18 long-eared bat.

19 We did a habitat assessment for the bats.  
20 These bats are both migratory. Indiana bats are  
21 a long-distance migrator, and northern  
22 long-eared bats are a shorter-distance migrator,  
23 but they both go to somewhere else to hibernate.

24 But during the winter, the females come up

1 to these areas in Illinois and this is where  
2 they form their maternity colonies. So the  
3 females come up here, they get under the bark of  
4 dead and dying trees, and that's where they have  
5 their pups. A number of these females will all  
6 congregate together in one tree. Those are the  
7 maternity colonies that they form.

8 So the way that we then do a habitat  
9 assessment is we look for the woodlands, and we  
10 see if there are any suitable woodlands for the  
11 bats. And so that's what you see on the screen  
12 now.

13 We did do a habitat assessment. Kind of  
14 the teal color are the woodlands that meet the  
15 criteria as suitable bat summer habitat. So  
16 you'll see there is some habitat within the  
17 project area.

18 But, again, this is an existing project.  
19 So the turbines are already there, and at least  
20 a number of those turbines are located a  
21 distance from the -- from suitable habitat. And  
22 so by siting turbines a thousand feet or more  
23 from suitable habitat, you can avoid the risk to  
24 the bats. And so we'll talk more about that

1 here in a second.

2 So we do know -- you know, most people  
3 know that bats are susceptible to being -- to  
4 rotor strikes. So as the bats are flying  
5 around, they fly through that rotor strike zone  
6 and they can get hit by the turbine blade.

7 We also know there's this inverse  
8 relationship between bat activity to wind speed.  
9 So as wind speeds go up, bat activity goes down.  
10 And the reason for that is that, you know, the  
11 bats are very small. They only weigh, you know,  
12 maybe as much as, say, a quarter would weigh.  
13 So they are really small -- really small and  
14 don't weigh a lot. So on a high-wind speed  
15 night, they have trouble flying. In addition,  
16 they eat insects, and those insects have trouble  
17 flying on high-wind speed nights as well.

18 So the bats and their food are not out  
19 when the wind speeds are high. So what we know  
20 is that by raising the cut-in speed of the  
21 turbines -- so the cut-in speed is the wind  
22 speed at which the blades begin spinning and  
23 turn -- and generating power. By raising that  
24 so that they don't start spinning until a higher

1 wind speed, we can reduce bat mortality.

2 So, you know, there are many studies that  
3 have shown that. The Illinois DNR understands  
4 that, the Fish and Wildlife Service understands  
5 that as well.

6 So the primary conservation measure that  
7 the project is going to adopt is raising the  
8 cut-in speed from its manufacture -- from the  
9 manufacturer's cut-in speed to 5 meters per  
10 second, and they are going -- so the project  
11 will raise the cut-in speeds to 5 meters per  
12 second during the fall season. That is the  
13 season of the highest risk for the bats.

14 So from April 1st through July 31st, so  
15 prior to fall, the project will raise cut-in  
16 speeds to 5 meters per second at those turbines  
17 that are within a thousand feet. So, remember,  
18 turbines more than a thousand feet from  
19 woodlands don't pose risk to the bats. So any  
20 turbines that are within a thousand feet prior  
21 to fall will have their cut -- will have its  
22 cut-in speed raised to 5 meters per second.

23 Those turbines that are more than a  
24 thousand feet from the -- from woodlands, the



1 project will feather the blades below the cut-in  
2 speed.

3 What we know is that if you just simply  
4 feather the blades -- so turning the blades,  
5 pitching the blades sort of into the wind so  
6 that they are not free-wheeling, right, so when  
7 the project is not generating power, those  
8 blades are not just kind of pin-wheeling, turn  
9 the blade so they turn very slowly -- just  
10 simply by doing that you can reduce overall bat  
11 mortality by about 35 percent.

12 So during the non-fall season, again,  
13 turbines within a thousand feet will have their  
14 cut-in speed raised to five. Those outside will  
15 be feathered below.

16 During the fall season then, so from  
17 August 1 through October 15, turbine -- or  
18 cut-in speed will be raised at all turbines  
19 during that time frame. So during the period of  
20 highest risk, cut-in speeds will be raised at  
21 all turbines.

22 What we know is that raising cut-in speeds  
23 to 5 meters per second can reduce overall bat  
24 mortality by anywhere from 47 to 87 percent.

1 And the Illinois DNR considers a cut-in speed of  
2 5 meters per second to be avoidance of risk.

3 Okay. We'll just kind of quickly step  
4 through the consultation letter, the  
5 recommendations. So if you recall, we  
6 originally did the EcoCAT letter back in 2018.  
7 At that time, the Illinois DNR issued some  
8 recommendations. We had to redo the -- resubmit  
9 that and redo it here in 2021, and so we have a  
10 new letter that's dated, the April 16, 2021,  
11 letter.

12 I'll just note that the recommendations in  
13 the 2021 letter are exactly the same  
14 recommendations that were in the 2018 letter.  
15 The Illinois DNR did not have any additional or  
16 change their recommendations.

17 So just to kind of step through these, the  
18 first recommendation is that the DNR would, you  
19 know, recommend that the County require three  
20 years of post-construction mortality monitoring  
21 to do a statistical study to look at what bats  
22 are being killed at the project. And these  
23 post-construction mortality surveys are simply  
24 having people walk underneath the turbines and

1 look for dead birds and bats. We record those.  
2 And there are some statistical, sort of,  
3 correction factors that we use to estimate then  
4 how many bats in particular are killed.

5 So Big Sky does agree to comply with this  
6 recommendation, and as such will conduct three  
7 fall seasons of post-construction monitoring.  
8 During that time, there will be these  
9 standardized searches where we look under the  
10 turbines. Those bias correction factors that we  
11 use, those things that increase the precision of  
12 our estimate, includes search or efficiency  
13 trials and carcass persistence trials.

14 So these are all standard methods and the  
15 methods that the Illinois DNR and Fish and  
16 Wildlife Service, you know, recommends that we  
17 use.

18 We are limiting the post-construction  
19 monitoring to the fall, because, again, that is  
20 the season of highest risk.

21 Big Sky will maintain records, of course.  
22 And at the end of each monitoring season, a  
23 report will be prepared and -- the monitoring  
24 report will be prepared, submitted to both the

1 County and the DNR.

2 If at some point during the monitoring the  
3 project finds a State-listed bat, you know, Big  
4 Sky will coordinate with the Fish and  
5 Wildlife -- or with the Illinois DNR and pursue  
6 an incidental take permit at that time.

7 Recommendations 2 and 3 are really sort of  
8 coupled with each other. The Department, you  
9 know, recommends that the County consider  
10 requiring the Applicant to curtail the wind  
11 turbines to 5 meters per second. As I mentioned  
12 earlier, the project is, you know, agreeing to  
13 do that. The turbines will be curtailed, some  
14 of the turbines -- you know, the turbines within  
15 a thousand feet during the summer, all turbines  
16 during the fall.

17 And then Recommendation 3 is that the  
18 Department recommends that the County consider  
19 requiring the Applicant to feather turbine  
20 blades when not in operation. So that is that  
21 feathering below the manufacturer's cut-in speed  
22 that I mentioned earlier. So outside of the  
23 period of risk, from April 1st through  
24 July 31st, all turbines that are not feathered

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)  
815.453.2260

In Totidem Verbis, LLC (ITV)

1 at 5 will be feathered below the manufacturer.

2 So the project is complying with both  
3 Recommendations 2 and 3.

4 Recommendation 4 really is just saying  
5 that if the project doesn't raise cut-in speeds  
6 to 5, then the DNR recommends an incidental take  
7 authorization. But in this case, the project is  
8 raising cut-in speeds to 5, and so it is  
9 following really Recommendations 1, 2, and 3.

10 Recommendation 5 then is related to the  
11 turtles and recommends that the avoidance and  
12 minimization measures that were discussed in the  
13 technical memorandum prepared by Stantec in  
14 February of 2019 be implemented. Again, as I  
15 mentioned earlier, all of those are being  
16 implemented. So that's the exclusion fence  
17 around the construction areas, the bio monitor  
18 who will be there to monitor during  
19 construction.

20 And Recommendation 6 then is related to an  
21 incidental take permit for the turtles, you  
22 know, again, recommending that the incidental  
23 take be sought for those turtles. As I  
24 mentioned, that's already in the works, the

1 conservation plan has already been approved,  
2 gone out on public notice, received no public  
3 comments, and we just submitted the final plan  
4 last week. The Illinois DNR has indicated that  
5 they anticipate to issue the permit then in June  
6 or July of this year.

7 And then just lastly, the last  
8 recommendation relates to another species, a  
9 snake, plains hog-nosed snake. The DNR, you  
10 know, is recommending an incidental take  
11 authorization for the plains hog-nosed snake,  
12 but -- you know, the project did consider that,  
13 but there are no records of the plains hog-nosed  
14 snake in the vicinity of the project. The DNR  
15 acknowledges that. And in addition, there's  
16 very -- there's very little hog-nosed snake  
17 habitat within the project area, and the  
18 conservation measures that are being implemented  
19 for the two turtles -- again, the exclusion  
20 fence, the bio monitor, things like that -- are  
21 all the same conservation measures that you  
22 would use for the snake.

23 So, you know, it's felt that those  
24 measures are sufficient for protecting the

1 snake. And so at this time, the project is not  
2 pursuing an incidental take permit for the  
3 snake. However, if during -- sometime during  
4 the life of the project, you know, it's  
5 determined that an incidental take permit or  
6 authorization is needed for the turtles, the  
7 project will pursue one.

8 That's it.

9 Q. (By Mr. Streicker:) Mr. VanDeWalle, if I could  
10 turn your attention back to Recommendation 6,  
11 the slide, the presentation, second-to-last one.

12 A. Sure.

13 Q. On Recommendation 6, if I am quoting  
14 accurately, it says: Be advised, it is unlawful  
15 to handle or move any listed animals without an  
16 incident take authorization or a valid research  
17 permit, comma, as appropriate.

18 Is that correct?

19 A. That's correct, yes.

20 Q. Okay. If you could describe for the members,  
21 incidental take permit sort of implies that you  
22 might kill one by accident. But actually, you  
23 may need a take permit to remove or save a  
24 turtle if it's perhaps in the path of a truck;

1 is that correct?

2 A. Yeah, that's right. So if we think about take,  
3 take could either be injuring an animal, it  
4 could be killing an animal, or it could be  
5 picking it up and just simply moving it  
6 somewhere else. The State would consider all of  
7 those things to be take.

8 And then there is, you know, take that  
9 would be -- where it would be -- the intent of  
10 your activity would be to take an animal. So if  
11 I were to go out and, you know, just take my gun  
12 out and shoot, you know, a bat, if I could do  
13 that, shoot an Indiana bat, that would be take  
14 where I intended to go out and do it.

15 But then the other type of take is this  
16 incidental take. So it's take that is  
17 incidental to an otherwise lawful activity.  
18 That's the permit that's being pursued here.

19 Now, so we need to have that -- you know,  
20 the project needs to have that incidental take  
21 permit, in this case for the turtles, as a way  
22 for that incidental take to be legal. Now, if  
23 we're doing either the post-construction  
24 monitoring or the bio monitors out trying to



1       move turtles out of the way so they don't get  
2       run over, there are separate permits that are  
3       needed for that. There's a State Threatened and  
4       Endangered Species Permit and there's a State  
5       Scientific Collecting Permit. So there's really  
6       two permits you need in order to do that.

7               And so whoever the bio monitor is will  
8       need to obtain those permits to be able to  
9       legally move, you know, say, a turtle out of the  
10      way.

11   Q.    But that would be the ultimate intent, right,  
12       if a turtle is walking in front of a  
13       construction vehicle, that that turtle would be  
14       moved out of the way?

15   A.    That's right, or if it's just inside the  
16       construction area. But yeah, that's the -- that  
17       is the intent and that's what the bio monitor  
18       would be doing.

19   Q.    Okay. Mr. VanDeWalle, I handed you what's been  
20       previously marked as Petitioner's Exhibits 9 and  
21       10. I believe you referred to those in your  
22       presentation, but if you could just explain for  
23       the Board members why those might be relevant?

24   A.    Yes. So Exhibit 9 is a letter that I wrote,

1       you know, I authored back in March of 2019.  
2       This was a response to the 2018 EcoCAT letter,  
3       and this is a letter that essentially walks  
4       through each of the recommendations in  
5       explaining what -- you know, what the project  
6       will do to comply with those. That's actually  
7       what we just went through in my testimony.

8               Exhibit 10 then is the April 16, 2021,  
9       EcoCAT letter. So the updated EcoCAT letter  
10       with the same recommendations that were in the  
11       2018 letter.

12 Q.    I think on the header of a number of your  
13       slides you had the April 2021 date; is that  
14       correct?

15 A.    That's correct.

16 Q.    Okay. And that would match up to that letter?

17 A.    To Exhibit 10, correct.

18 Q.    And, sir, we previously discussed that  
19       Exhibit F to the Special Use Permit application,  
20       which is the Site Characterization Study, and  
21       Exhibit H, which is the Bird and Bat  
22       Conservation Study, were prepared under your  
23       supervision; is that correct?

24 A.    Yes.

1 Q. And both of those studies, if I am not  
2 mistaken, were updated in March of 2021 for this  
3 application?

4 A. Yes, they were. They were updated with the new  
5 owner's information and any changes to the  
6 project that were required specifically, you  
7 know, the number of turbines that would be  
8 decommissioned.

9 Q. Decommissioned or repowered?

10 A. Well --

11 Q. Or just decommissioned?

12 A. It's both really, I guess, right? Because  
13 originally, in the original reports that we did,  
14 I think the project was intending to -- you  
15 know, the owner at that time was intending to  
16 decommission more turbines than what's being  
17 decommissioned now.

18 Q. Okay. And lastly, sir, I know you provided a  
19 number of bits of information about your  
20 background, but if you could, just briefly  
21 describe your relevant educational history.

22 A. Right. So, again, I do have a bachelor's  
23 degree in animal ecology and a master's degree  
24 in biology.

1 MR. STREICKER: Thank you, Judge. That's  
2 all my direct questions.

3 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Boonstra, questions?

4 MR. BOONSTRA: No, sir. Thank you.

5 JUDGE SLAVIN: Ms. Duffy?

6 MS. DUFFY: No, not right now.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Forster?

8 MR. FORSTER: No.

9 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Pratt?

10 EXAMINATION

11 BY MR. PRATT:

12 Q. Did you have any -- did you do any work or  
13 consultation with the Ryan Wetlands?

14 A. I did not personally, but I know that -- but I  
15 think Mike Speerschneider did, so, but I have  
16 not personally, no.

17 Q. So you never had any consultations with Deb  
18 Carey, Mrs. Deb Carey?

19 A. I did not personally.

20 MR. PRATT: Thank you.

21 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Hughes?

22 MR. HUGHES: I'll pass.

23 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Meyer?

24 MR. MEYER: No questions.

1 JUDGE SLAVIN: Mr. Bothe?

2 MR. BOTHE: No questions.

3 JUDGE SLAVIN: You can step down. Thank  
4 you.

5 MR. STREICKER: Judge, that completes all  
6 three of the witnesses.

7 JUDGE SLAVIN: Great. Off the record for  
8 a minute.

9 (A discussion was held off the  
10 record.)

11 JUDGE SLAVIN: We will recess tonight  
12 until Thursday, June 10th, at -- can we do 6 by  
13 then or not?

14 MR. PRATT: That's fine.

15 JUDGE SLAVIN: Is that all right?

16 MR. HUGHES: He says, Not all right,  
17 but. . .

18 MR. PRATT: No, that's fine. Go for it.

19 JUDGE SLAVIN: Rex?

20 MR. MEYER: Yeah, that will be fine.

21 JUDGE SLAVIN: Gene?

22 MR. BOTHE: Yes.

23 JUDGE SLAVIN: 6 o'clock. Everybody have  
24 a good interim and we'll see you again.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

(The hearing was recessed at  
8:56 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24

On this 17th day of May, A.D., 2021, I do  
signify that the foregoing testimony was given  
before the Lee County Zoning Board of Appeals.

Bruce Forster, Chairman

Dee Duffy,  
Zoning Enforcement Officer

-----  
*Callie S. Bodmer*

Callie S. Bodmer  
Certified Shorthand Reporter  
Registered Professional Reporter  
IL License No. 084-004489  
P.O. Box 381  
Dixon, Illinois 61021